# 고차잉여류 문제와 이산대수 문제에 기반을 둔 역설적인 id-based 암호시스템

박성준\*, 원동호\*\*

A "paradoxical" identity-based scheme based on γ<sup>h</sup>-residuosity problem and discrete logarithm problem

Sung Jun Park and Dong Ho Won

### 요 약

본 논문에서는 certification-based 방식이 아닌 id-based 방식이면서도 사용자가 자신의 비밀키를 선택할 수 있는 역설적인 id-based 방식을 제안한다. 제안한 방식은 Girault가 제안한 self-certified 공개키 개념을 id-based 방식(self-certidifed identity 개념)에 적용한 것이다.

제안한 방식의 안전성은 고차잉여류 문제와 이산대수 문제에 기반을 두고 있다.

### Abstract

We propose the truly "paradoxical" identity-based identification scheme, the corresponding signature scheme and identity-based key exchange protocol which any user can choose his(her) own secret key though it is not certification-based method.

The security of our schemes is based on the difficulty of  $\Upsilon^{h}$ -residuosity problem and discrete logarithm problem simultaneously. Also our schemes are in the level 3 of trust.

In particular, Our schemes are almost as efficient as the Schnorr's scheme.

### 1. Introduction

There are two methods of eliminating the public key directory from the conventional public key schemes: the one is the identity-based method and the other is the certification-based method.

In the certification-based method, a

<sup>\*</sup> 정 회 원, 성균관대학교 정보공학과 박사과정

<sup>\*\*</sup> 종신회원, 성균관대학교 정보공학과 교수

trusted center publishes its public key and gives a user A its signature S for the pair of identity  $Id_A$  and public key  $PK_A$  of A. The user A sends  $(Id_A, PK_A, S)$  to the verifier, who checks the validity of  $PK_A$  by verifying the trusted center's signature S for  $(Id_A, PK_A)$  in place of retrieving  $PK_A$  through  $Id_A$  from the public key directory.

But in the identity-based method, the public key is replaced by the identity related value of a user.

In general, the major difference between the certification-based method and identity-based method is as follows:

- In certification-based method, any user uses the certificate, but in identity-based method, there is no certificate.
- In certification-based method, the trusted center doesn't know the secret key of user but in identity-based method, the trusted center knows the secret key of every user

And in [G1], M. Girault proposed a "paradoxical" identity-based scheme. But since his scheme used the certificate, we think that his scheme is not a truly identity-based scheme.

Also in [G2], M. Girault introduced the notion of self-certified public key which is intermediary scheme between certification-based method and identity-based method. In the self-certified public key scheme, there is no separate certificate. And he had defined the levels of trust as follows:

#### - Level 1

The trust center knows user's secret key and, therefore, can impersonate any user at any time without being detected.

- Level 2

The trust center does not know user's secret key. Nevertheless, the trust center can still impersonate a user by generating false certificate.

- Level 3

The trust center does not know user's secret key and can not impersonate a user without being detected.

In this paper, we apply the notion of self-certified public key to the case in which the public key is just the identity (the notion of self-certified identity). Thus we propose a truly "paradoxical" identity-based identification scheme, identity-based signature scheme and identity-based key exchange protocol.

The security of our schemes is based on the difficulty of  $\gamma^h$ -residuosity problem and discrete logarithm problem simultaneously. Also our schemes achieve the level 3 of trust.

In particular, Our schemes are almost as efficient as the Schnorr's scheme.[Sc1] [Sc2]

#### 2. Preliminaries

We begin with a brief review of terminologies and results in [PW][Z].

For given positive integer  $\gamma$  and n, an integer z is a  $\gamma^{\text{h}}$ -residue if  $\gcd(z, n) = 1$  and there is an integer x such that  $z \equiv x^{\gamma} \mod n$ , a  $\gamma^{\text{h}}$ -nonresidue otherwise.

The  $\gamma^{th}\text{-Residuosity Problam}(\gamma^{th}\text{-RP})$  means the problem of determining  $\gamma^{th}\text{-}$ 

residuosity of the given element  $z \in Z_n^*$ , where  $Z_n^*$  is the set of integers relatively prime to n between 0 and n.

When n is a prime, the problem is already solvable. However, for a give composite integer n whose factorization is unknown, this problem is known to be very difficult. If  $\gamma$  is 2, the problem is called Quadratic Residuosity Problem, which is applied to many cryptographic protocols.

We call a triple  $(n, \gamma, y)$  acceptable if  $n, \gamma$  and y satisfy the following three conditions:

- (i) n is the product of powers of different odd primes, *i.e.*,  $n = n_1 n_2 ... n_t$ , where each  $n_i$  is an odd prime power.
- (ii)  $\gamma$  is an odd integer greater than 2 with  $\gcd(\gamma, \phi(n_l)) = \gamma$  for just one  $1 \le l \le t$ , and  $\gcd(\gamma, \phi(n_i)) = 1$  for all  $i \ne l$ ,  $1 \le l \le t$ . For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that l = 1.
- (iii) y is an element of  $Z_n^*$ , written as  $y = h_1^{b,\gamma+e} \prod_{i=2}^{t} h_i^{bi} \mod n$ , where  $0 < e < \gamma$ ,  $\gcd(e,\gamma) = 1$ ,  $1 \le b_i \le \phi(n_i)$  for each  $i \ne l$ ,  $1 \le j \le t$ , and  $(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t)$  is a generator-vector for  $Z_n^*$

There are two other problems related intimately to the  $\gamma^{th}$ -RP. For the completeness, three problems are formally defined as below:

- (1)  $\gamma^{\text{b}}$ -RP: Given n,  $\gamma$  and an element  $Z_n^*$ , decide whether or not z is a  $\gamma^{\text{b}}$ -residue (mod n).
- (2) Class-index-comparing problem : Given an acceptable triple (n, γ, y) and two elements z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub> ∈ Z<sub>n</sub>\*, judge whether or not z<sub>1</sub> and z<sub>2</sub> have the same class-index with respect to(n, γ, y).

(3) Class-index-finding problem: Given an acceptable triple  $(n, \gamma, y)$  and element  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , find the class-index of z with respect to  $(n, \gamma, y)$ .

Zheng *et al* proved that above definitions had following relations.(Z)(ZMH)

- (a) γ<sup>th</sup>-RP and Class-index-comparing problem are equivalent;
- (b) γ<sup>th</sup>-RP and Class-index-comparing problem are reducible to the Classindex-finding problem;
- (c)  $\gamma^{\text{th}}$ -RP and Class-index-comparing problem are equivalent to the Class-index-finding problem when  $\gamma$ =O(poly(k)), where poly(.) denotes a polynomial.

Park *et al* proved that above (c) relation can be extended to the below relation (c'). (PW)

(c')  $\gamma^{\text{th}}\text{-RP}$  and Class-index-comparing problem are equivalent to the Class-index-finding problem when  $\gamma = (O(\text{poly}_1(k_1)))^{\text{Class}_1(k_2)}$ , where  $\text{poly}_1$  (.) and  $\text{poly}_2$ (.) denote a polynomial.

# 3. The Proposed Identity-based Schemes

## 3.1 Set-up

Let n be the product of two primes p and q such that  $p = 2\gamma p' + 1$  and q = 2fq' + 1, where f, p' and q' are distinct primes and  $\gcd(\gamma, q') = 1$ ,  $\gcd(\gamma, f) = 1$ . In the basic version, f is 140-bit long, p' and q' are 210-bit long,  $\gamma$  is 128-bit long, so n is 688-bit long. Let y be  $a(\gamma^c)^{\text{th}}$ -nonresidue mod n

and  $(n, \gamma^c, y)$  be a acceptable triple and the order of b modulo n be f.

The public key of trust center is a  $(n, \gamma, y, b, f)$  and the secret key of trust center is a pair(p', q').

Each user chooses a secret key s, smaller than f, and send the identity I and b to the trust center. Then trust center compute i and x where i is the class-index of  $(Ib^r)^+$  (mod n) and  $I = b^* y^* x^*$ . And the trust center send the i and x to the user I. Here i and x need not to be secret, that is, the only secret key of user is s.

# 3.2 Identity-based identification scheme.

Now we describe the our identity-based identification scheme. Our scheme is similar to the Schnorr's scheme.

When Alice wants to prove to Bob she is Alice, the protocol is as follows:

- Alice choose a random integer r in the interval [0, f-1], calculates v = b (mod n) and sends her identity l and v to the verifier.
- 2) Bob picks a random integer *e* in the interval [0, 2'-1] (where, typically, *t* lies between 20 and 70) and sends it to Alice
- 3) Alice calculates z = r + se(mod f) and sends z, i, x to Bob
- 4) Bob check that  $(ly x^{i}) b^{i} \pmod{n} = v$ .

It can be proven that:

- Alice will be accepted by Bob with probability almost 1(completeness)
- an imposter, who does not know s, will

- be deteted with probability 1-2' (soundness)
- the protocol herdly reveals anything about s (minimum knowledge)

Note that there is no certificate to check. Of course, the trust center can still compute "false" secret keys linked to Alice. by choosing a number s' and computing the i' and x'. But, since only the trust center is able to compute the index i and x, the existence of two different i, i' and x, x' for the same user is in itself a proof that the turst center has cheated. This shows that our scheme reaches the level 3 of trust.

### 3.3 Identity-based signature scheme

In this subsection, we describe the our identity-based signature scheme. Our scheme is similar to the Schnorr's scheme.

When Alice wants to sign the message m, the protocol is as follows:

- 1) Alice choose a random integer r in the interval [0, f-1], calculates  $v = b^r \pmod{n}$  and c = h(v, m) where h is a hash function.
- 2) Alice calculates z = r + se (mod f) and sends z, i, x, e to Bob.
- 3) Bob compute the value v such that  $(hyix\gamma)b \pmod{n} = v$ .
- 4) Bob check that e = h(v, m).

It can be proven that:

- Bob will be accepted the valid signature 1.(completeness)
- an imposter, who does not know s, cannot generate a valid signature. (soundness)

# 3.4 Identity-based key exchange protocol

Finally we describe the our identity-based key exchange protocol.

When Alice and Bob want to share a secret key,

- 1) Alice sends  $I_A$ ,  $i_A$ ,  $x_A$  to Bob, and Bob sends  $I_B$ ,  $i_B$ ,  $x_B$  to Alice.
- Alice and Bob can get a common secret key K such that

$$K = (I_{A}y^{r_{A}}x_{A}\hat{y})^{s_{A}} = (I_{B}y^{r_{B}}x_{B}\hat{y})^{s_{A}} = b^{s_{A}s_{B}} \mod n$$

This protocol is clearly related to Diffie-Hallman's one, but, contrary to it, makes Alice sure that she shares K with Bob and conversly.

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we apply the notion of self-certified public key to the case in which the public key is just the identity. This notion can be called the notion of self-certified identity. Then we propose a truly "paradoxical" identity-based identification scheme, identity-based signature scheme and identity-based key exchange protocol using the notion of self-certified identity.

The security of our schemes is based on the difficulty of  $\gamma^h$ -residuosity problem and discrete logarithm problem simultaneously. Also our schemes achieve the level 3 of trust.

In particular, Our schemes are almost as efficient as the Schnorr's scheme.

#### Reference

- [G1] M. Girault, "An identity-based identification scheme based on discrete logarithms modulo a composite number". EUROCRYT'90, pp. 481-486, 1991.
- (G2) M. Girault, "Self-certified public keys", EUROCRYPT'91, pp. 490-497, 1991.
- [GP] M. Girault and J. C. Pailles. "An identity-based identification scheme providing zero-knowledge authentication and authenticated key exchange". Proc. of ESORICS'90, pp 173-184, 1990.
- [GQ] L. C. Guillou, J. J. Quisquater," A Paradoxical Identity-based Signature Scheme Resulting from Zero-Knowledge", CRYPTO'88, pp. 216-231, 1988.
- (PW) S. J. Park and D. H. Won," A Generalization of Public Key Residue Cryptosystem", Proceeding of JW-ISC 93, pp. 202-206, 1993.
- (S) A. Shamir, "Identity-based Cryptosystems and Signature Scheme", CRYPTO'84, pp. 47-53, 1984.
- (Sc1) Schnorr, "Efficient Identification and Signatures for Smart Cards", EUROCRYPT'89, pp. 686-689,1989.
- (Sc2) Schnorr, "Efficient Identification and Signatures for Smart Cards",

CRYPTO'89, pp. 239-252, 1989 and J. of Cryptology, Vol.4, No.3, pp. 161-174, 1991.

[ZMH] Y. Zheng, T. Matsumoto, and H. Imai," Residuosity Prblem and its Applications to Crytpography", Trans. IEICE, vol.E71, No.8, pp. 759-767, 1998.

[Z] Y. Zheng."A Study on Probabilistic Cryptosystems and Zero-knowledge Protocol", Master thesis, Yokohama National University, 1988.

### □ 箸者紹介



### 박 성 준 (朴性俊, Sung Jun Park) 정회원

1960년 10월 29일생

1983년 2월 한양대학교 수학과 졸업(이학사)

1985년 2월 한양대학교 대학원 수학과 졸업(이학석사)

1985년 1월 ~ 1994년 3월 한국전자통신연구소 부호기술부 선임연구원

1992년 3월 ~ 현재 성균관대학교 대학원 정보공학과 박사과정

\* 주관심분야: 암호이론, 계산이론, 정보이론



### 원 동 호 (元 東 豪, Dong-Ho Won) 종신회원

1949년 9월 23일생

1976년 2월 성균관 대학교 전자공학과 졸업 (공학사)

1978년 2월 성균관 대학교 대학원 전자공학과 졸업 (공학석사)

1988년 2월 성균관 대학교 대학원 전자공학과 졸업 (공학박사)

1978년 4월 - 1980년 3월 한국전자통신연구소 연구원

1985년 9월 - 1986년 8월 일본 동경공대 객원연구원

1982년 3월 - 현재 성균관대학교 공과대학 정보공학과 교수 1991년 - 현재 한국통신정보보호학회 편집이사

※ 주관심분야 : 암호이론, 정보이론