

# Client Selection Methods for Federated Learning with Deep Reinforcement Learning

Sungwon Moon

*dept. of IT Engineering*  
*Sookmyung Women's University*  
Seoul 04310, Korea  
sungwon268@sookmyung.ac.kr

Yujin Lim

*div. Artificial Intelligence Engineering*  
*Sookmyung Women's University*  
Seoul 04310, Korea  
yujin91@sookmyung.ac.kr

**Abstract**—Federated learning (FL) is a machine learning technique that allows clients to jointly learn a global deep neural network (DNN) model by aggregating locally trained DNN models without sharing their own data. Local data collected by each client is non-independent identically distribution (non-IID), which can degrade the performance of FL. And then simultaneously sending the training results from local clients increases the burden on the network and results in significant communication overhead. Therefore, client selection in FL is critical to improve performance for non-IID data and reduce communication overhead for clients. In this paper, we present a study of client selection methods in FL using deep reinforcement learning (DRL). After that, we discuss future research directions.

**Keywords**—Client selection, federated learning, deep reinforcement learning

## I. INTRODUCTION

Federated learning (FL) proposed by Google has emerged as a machine learning technique that leverages centralized and distributed methods [1]. FL allows clients to jointly learn a global deep neural network (DNN) model by aggregating locally trained DNN models without sharing their own data. Therefore, FL has the advantages of both centralized and distributed methods such as protecting data privacy.

However, FL still faces several challenges. First, data is generally not distributed evenly across clients. Local data collected by clients is non-independent identically distribution (non-IID). Due to non-IID data, the distribution of unbalanced data can lead to bias in model training and reduce the convergence of FL, which can degrade the performance of FL. Second, sending training results from local clients simultaneously increases the burden on the network and results in significant communication overhead.

Therefore, it is important to select optimal clients in FL to improve training performance on non-IID data and reduce communication overhead for clients. Several studies have been conducted to propose a client selection method to participate in FL and generate global and local DNN model updates. In this paper, we present research on client selection methods to improve the performance of FL by selecting the optimal clients, and then discuss future research.

## II. RESEARCH ON CLIENT SELECTION METHODS

Although there are studies on client selection for FL to optimize heterogeneous network [2-3] and energy resources [4], heuristic methods can only provide sub-optimal performance because they often rely on qualitative analysis without exploring optimal performance [5].

Therefore, deep reinforcement learning (DRL) is a widely applied framework for solving complex optimization problems related to sequential decision-making. There are many studies that use DRL to optimize FL [6]. Because the DRL agent observed a connection between the local dataset distribution of client and participating clients, it is possible to train how to select the appropriate client for the next training.

[7] proposed a DRL-based adaptive client selection method to minimize energy consumption and processing latency in model updating. However, it did not consider the convergence problem of FL.

Therefore, [5], [8] and [9] proposed a DRL-based client selection and early client termination methods by optimizing the trade-off between resource allocation and the accuracy of global model. That is, they jointly optimize computing and communication resources in the FL systems while considering the accuracy of the global model. These methods are responsible for selecting the optimal clients for each training round. In addition, [9] also propose DRL-based local epoch adjustment method to optimize the trade-off.

## III. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND DISCUSSION

This paper presents a study of client selection methods in FL using DRL. However, research on client selection in FL still has many challenges to address. For example, most studies rarely take into account clients' mobility and/or clients' non-IID data. Therefore, the client selection in FL should be considered in a more dynamic environment, such as clients' mobility, the diversity of client distribution, and the diversity of data distribution. It is expected that we will be able to put a lot of effort into making better results in client selection study in this dynamic environment.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2021R1F1A1047113).

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. McMahan, E. Moore, D. Ramage, S. Hampson and B. A. Arcas, "Communication-Efficient Learning of Deep Networks from Decentralized Data," *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA, 2017.
- [2] T. Nishio and R. Yonetani, "Client Selection for Federated Learning with Heterogeneous Resources in Mobile Edge," *ICC 2019-2019 IEEE international conference on communications (ICC)*, Shanghai, China, 2019.
- [3] N. Yoshida, T. Nishio, M. Morikura, K. Yamamoto and R. Yonetani, "Hybrid-FL for Wireless Networks: Cooperative Learning Mechanism using Non-IID Data," *ICC 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference On Communications (ICC)*, Dublin, Ireland, 2020.
- [4] J. Zheng, K. Li, E. Tovar and M. Guizani, "Federated Learning for Energy-balanced Client Selection in Mobile Edge Computing," *2021 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*, Harbin City, China, 2021.
- [5] S. Q. Zhang, J. Lin and Q. Zhang, "A Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Approach for Efficient Client Selection in Federated Learning." *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. No. 8. 2022.
- [6] H. Wang, Z. Kaplan, D. Niu and B. Li, "Optimizing Federated Learning on Non-IID Data with Reinforcement Learning," *IEEE INFOCOM 2020 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2020.
- [7] H. Zhang, Z. Xie, R. Zarei, T. Wu and K. Chen, "Adaptive Client Selection in Resource Constrained Federated Learning Systems: A Deep Reinforcement Learning Approach," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 98423-98432, 2021.
- [8] W. Yang, W. Xiang, Y. Yang and P. Cheng, "Optimizing Federated Learning With Deep Reinforcement Learning for Digital Twin Empowered Industrial IoT," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1884-1893, 2023.
- [9] Y. J. Wong, M-L. Tham, B-H. Kwan and Y. Owada, "FedDdrl: Federated Double Deep Reinforcement Learning for Heterogeneous IoT with Adaptive Early Client Termination and Local Epoch Adjustment," *Sensors*, vol. 23, 2023.